

## Showing that VaR is not coherent for exponentially distributed loss variables

[Nematrion website page: [ERMMTVarNotCoherentForExponentialDistributions](#), © Nematrion 2015]

Please bear in mind that in general a multivariate distribution each of the marginal of which is exponentially distributed is not necessarily a member of the exponential family of (multivariate) distributions.

The simplest case to consider is where two loss variables come from the same [exponential distribution](#) with parameter  $\lambda$ . The probability density function of  $Y = Y_1 + Y_2$  where  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are independent random variables each coming from an exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda$  is  $f(y) = \lambda^2 y \exp(-\lambda y)$ .

The [VaR](#) at confidence level  $\alpha$  for  $Y_1$ ,  $VaR_\alpha(Y_1)$  is the same as  $VaR_\alpha(Y_2)$  and is the value of  $q$  for which:

$$\int_q^\infty f_1(x) dx = 1 - \alpha \quad \text{where } f_1(x) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda x)$$
$$\Rightarrow \exp(-\lambda q) = 1 - \alpha \Rightarrow VaR_\alpha(Y_1) = VaR_\alpha(Y_2) = -\frac{\log(1 - \alpha)}{\lambda}$$

The VaR at the same confidence level for  $Y$  is the value of  $q$  for which

$$\int_q^\infty f(x) dx = 1 - \alpha \quad \text{where } f(x) = \lambda^2 x \exp(-\lambda x)$$

Integrating by parts we have:

$$(1 + \lambda q) \exp(-\lambda q) = 1 - \alpha$$

The exponential distribution (with independent variables) is not elliptical so VaR's for it shouldn't be coherent. More specifically it should be possible to identify a suitable  $\alpha$  for which VaR is not sub-additive, i.e. for which  $VaR_\alpha(Y) > VaR_\alpha(Y_1) + VaR_\alpha(Y_1)$ , as sub-additivity is one of the axioms required for [coherence](#). Although there is no certainty that this is the case it is likely that such a situation will arise either where  $\alpha$  is close to zero or when  $\alpha$  is close to 1. The case where  $\alpha$  is close to 1 does not look promising as the behaviour of  $VaR_\alpha(Y)$  will tend to be dominated by the exponential term. So instead let us consider the case where when  $\alpha$  is close to 0. We then have for  $VaR_\alpha(Y)$ :

$$(1 + \lambda q) \left( 1 - \lambda q + \frac{\lambda^2 q^2}{2} + \dots \right) \cong 1 - \alpha \Rightarrow \frac{\lambda^2 q^2}{2} \cong \alpha \Rightarrow VaR_\alpha(Y) \cong \frac{1}{\lambda} \sqrt{2\alpha}$$

However in these circumstances:

$$VaR_\alpha(Y_1) = VaR_\alpha(Y_2) = -\frac{\log(1 - \alpha)}{\lambda} \cong \frac{1}{\lambda} \alpha$$

So if  $\alpha$  is small enough we will have  $VaR_\alpha(Y_1) + VaR_\alpha(Y_2) < VaR_\alpha(Y)$  as desired to prove the conjecture.

