

The Akaike Information Criterion

[Nematrian website page: [AkaikeInformationCriterion](#), © Nematrian 2015]

The Akaike Information Criterion is one of a range of ways of choosing between different types of models that seek an appropriate trade-off between goodness of fit and model complexity. The more complicated a model is the better generally will be its apparent goodness of fit, if the parameters are selected to optimise goodness of fit, but this does not necessarily make it a 'better' model overall for identifying how new data might behave.

A simple example of this is that if we have n datapoints, i.e. (x_i, y_i) for $i = 1, \dots, n$, relating to some unknown function then we can exactly fit all of these points with a polynomial of order $n - 1$, i.e. $y(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1}$ where the a_i are fixed, but a smoother polynomial with lower order or some other function with few parameters may actually be a better guide as to what the value of y might be for the $n + 1$ 'th datapoint even though it is unlikely to fit the first n points as well as the exact fit polynomial of order $n - 1$.

As explained in e.g. [Billah, Hyndman and Koehler \(2003\)](#), a common way of handling this trade-off in the context of statistics is to choose the model (out of say N model types, each of which is characterised by a vector of the q unknown free parameters where q varies between the different model types) that provides the highest 'information criterion' of the form:

$$IC = \log L(\hat{\theta}) - f(n, q)$$

where $L(\hat{\theta})$ is the maximised log-likelihood function, θ is the vector of the q unknown free parameters within the relevant model, $f(n, q)$ is a penalty function that penalises more complex models and we are using a data series of length n for fitting purposes.

A range of information criteria have been proposed for this purpose including:

| Criterion | Penalty function |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| AIC (Akaike's Information Criterion) | q |
| BIC (Bayesian Information Criterion) | $q \log(n)/2$ |
| HQ (Hannan & Quinn's Criterion) | $q \log(\log(n))$ |
| MCp (Mallow's Criterion) | $n \log(1 + 2q/r)/2$ |
| GCV (Generalized Cross Validation Criterion) | $-n \log(1 - q/n)$ |
| FPE (Finite Prediction Error Criterion) | $(n \log(n + q) - n \log(n - q))$ |

where (for MCp) $r = n - q^*$ and q^* is the number of free parameters in the smallest model that nests all models under consideration. Billah, Hyndman and Koehler's innovation is seek to estimate an 'ideal' $f(n, q)$ for the purpose in hand, thus deriving an 'empirical' information criterion rather than necessarily adopting a fixed penalty functional form.